

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

Manuel Trinidad,

Plaintiff,

v.

Pioneer Credit Recovery, Inc.; and DOES 1-
10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: _____

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Manuel Trinidad, says by way of Complaint against Defendant, Pioneer Credit Recovery, Inc., as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.

2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over all other claims in this action, as all such claims arise out of the same case or controversy as Defendant's violations of the FDCPA pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is proper in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as Defendant transacts business in the State of New Jersey.

PARTIES

4. The Plaintiff, Manuel Trinidad ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Hamilton, New Jersey, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. Defendant Pioneer Credit Recovery, Inc. (“Pioneer”), is a New York business entity with an address of 26 Edward Street, Arcade, New York 14009, operating as a collection agency, and is a “debt collector” as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

6. Does 1-10 (the “Collectors”) are individual collectors employed by Pioneer and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.

7. Pioneer at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

8. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the “Debt”) to an original creditor (the “Creditor”).

9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a “debt” under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Pioneer for collection, or Pioneer was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.

11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in “communications” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Pioneer Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

12. On or around January 6, 2015, Pioneer called Plaintiff at his place of employment in an attempt to collect the Debt.

13. Plaintiff advised Pioneer that the calls to his workplace were inconvenient and prohibited by the policy of his employer and requested that all calls to his work number cease immediately.

14. Plaintiff further provided Pioneer with his cellular telephone number, however directed Pioneer not to call him during his business hours of 9 a.m. to 6 p.m.

15. Thereafter, Pioneer continued calling Plaintiff at his place of employment.

16. Furthermore, on January 13, 2015, Pioneer called Plaintiff's cellular telephone at around 10:35 a.m., contrary to Plaintiff's request.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

17. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.

18. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT
15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

19. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

20. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendants contacted the Plaintiff at a place and during a time known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff.

21. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(3) in that Defendants contacted the Plaintiff at his place of employment, knowing that the Plaintiff's employer prohibited such communications.

22. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.

23. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendants used unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.

24. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.

25. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

1. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
2. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
3. Liquidated damages;
4. Punitive damages; and
5. Such other and further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: January 12, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sofia Balile

Sofia Balile, Esq.
Lemberg Law, LLC
43 Danbury Road
Wilton, CT 06897
Phone: (917) 981-0849
Fax: (888) 953-6237